





PAC Meeting

November 13, 2013, 9:00 AM – 11:00 AM

Colin Powell Center

PAC Members

Name	Organization	Present	Alternate	Absent
Peter Wagenius	City of Minneapolis - Mayor's Office	Х		
Gary Schiff	City of Minneapolis - City Council			Х
Robert Lilligren	City of Minneapolis - City Council	Х		
Peter McLaughlin	Hennepin County			Х
Gail Dorfman	Hennepin County	Х		
Dave Burrill	Lake Street Council	Х		
Ronald Lezama	Latino Economic Development Center	Х		
Gary Cunningham	Metropolitan Council - PAC Chair	Х		
Adam Duininck	Metropolitan Council	Х		
Janet Olson	MCWP			
Adam Juul	MCWP	Х		
John DeWitt	Midtown Greenway Coalition	Х		
Andrew Rankin	Mpls Bicycle Advisory Committee			Х
Kenya McKnight	Transportation Advisory Board			х
Ethan Fawley	Minneapolis Bicycle Coalition	Х		

PMT Members	Organization	Present	Absent
Dean Michalko	Hennepin County - HCWT	Х	
Michael		Х	
Mechtenberg	Metro Transit – Project Manager		
Don Pflaum	Minneapolis Public Works		
Charles Carlson	Metro Transit - BRT	Х	
Katie White	Met Council	Х	

OTHER ATTENDEES

Name	Organization

·		
Faith Cable-Kumon	Midtown Community Works Partnership	
Joyce Wisdom	Lake Street Council	
Rebecca Harnic	Midtown Greenway Coalition	
Bill Dooley	Major Taylor BC	





CONSULTANTS

Name	Organization
Joe Kern	SRF
Mona Elabbady	SRF
Joy Miciano	ZAN
Liz Heyman	SRF
Lisa Rasmussen	KHA
Steve Wilson	SRF

Meeting Notes

1. Project Updates

- Mike Mechtenberg reviewed the agenda
- Mike reviewed follow-up for the action items that were discussed at the September PAC meeting.
 - The project team met with multiple city and regional agencies, including Hennepin County staff, to receive input and guidance on issues that have come up in the corridor.
 - o Mike is continuing to meet with business groups and owners.
 - There is a meeting tomorrow night at Mercado Central
 - There are upcoming meetings at the Midtown Global Market

2. Presentation

- Mike reviewed the ridership data and the cost estimates
 - The ridership numbers are very strong
 - The capital costs are in line with regional and national examples
 - At this point in the study the capital costs include a fairly large contingency
 - A PAC member asked if the dual alignment offered opportunities for cost savings (i.e. less station locations, shorter distances etc.)
 - Station locations remain the same for each mode for all the alternatives.
 - Service plan adjusted slightly: frequency dialed back to balance between the modes.
 - The enhanced bus alignment is slightly shorter in the Dual Alignment
 - The enhanced bus would terminate at Uptown Transit center in the west
 - This adjustment was made, because a bus would have a much longer trip from West Lake to Uptown Station than the trip via rail in the Midtown Greenway.
 - A PAC member asked how much bridge work is incorporated into the cost estimate
 - The concepts tried to minimize impacts to the bridges
 - The project will have to add pier protection to the bridges
 - In areas where there is double track, the south abutment of two bridges will have to be reconstructed.
 - There will be an added retaining wall added in front of other bridge abutments to protect the existing bridge abutments.



- A PAC member asked if there is any economic impact analysis to measure the return on investment of the transitway.
 - The project team is working on the economic impact analysis.
 - The economic analysis will be ready in late December.
 - A PAC member noted that the corridor is already successful, so it's hard to measure what came in anticipation of a transitway investment.
- A PAC member noted that a transitway is needed to serve the diverse community in the corridor – the project is not all about economic development.
- A PAC member noted that there has been a tremendous amount of outreach for this process and that they are very happy with the process.
- Mike noted that there is little to no differences in the demography of both alignments.
- The Greenway alignments have the highest potential for impacts to historic and cultural resources.
- Single versus double track
 - A PAC member asked why it's necessary to have double track at stations
 - In case of emergencies, double track makes it easy to pull another train around a train that is out of service.
 - A PAC member asked if there is any other way to mitigate emergency situation other than using double track at stations.
 - Mike reviewed the two options for single-track sections in the corridor.
 - Metro Transit rail operations felt comfortable with the additional single-track segments.
 - The CAC is pleased the project is considering extra segments of single track.
 - The project team will present a range of options for the rail alternative (single, double track as well as turf track)
 - The project team will not be asking the PAC to vote on a specific track configuration. More research will need to be done on this issue as the project moves forward.
- Either a streetcar vehicle or a light rail vehicle (LRV) could run on the proposed track configuration.
 - John DeWitt said some members of the Midtown Greenway Coalition (MGC) would like to have a vehicle in place that can handle current ridership and allow for growth in ridership. However others in the coalition definitely do not want to see such a large vehicle.
 - Using a LRV would allow Metro Transit to save on operating costs.
 - This corridor will always function more like an LRT corridor, because it is a dedicated guideway.
 - Stations will be platforms very similar to a LRT platform in terms of amenities and vertical circulation, but they will be smaller.
 - The project team is not asking the PAC to vote on a vehicle type at this phase in the project.
 - Streetcar and LRVs are approximately the same width and height.
 - A PAC member noted that you could do some interesting interlining with Southwest LRT if you use the same vehicles.
 - Specifically you could run a train for the Uptown Art Fair
 - A PAC member noted that in terms of international examples, LRT and streetcar systems are actually a spectrum. Most lines around the world are mix of both technologies.
 - A PAC member asked if a LRT is faster, because it stops less.



- In this corridor, the stop spacing would be the same no matter what kind of vehicle is used.
- Whether a system is considered a 'streetcar' or 'LRT' system is more a function of the stop spacing and the operating plan than the vehicle.

• Pier protection

- With rail or bus traffic reintroduced to the Midtown Greenway the existing bridge piers would need to be reinforced with concrete.
- Currently, the project team does not know how high or wide the pier protection would need to be. This would need to be researched in further study phases.

• At-grade street crossings

- o East Isles neighborhood is concerned with the noise impacts at the at-grade crossings.
- The general consensus is that the project does not need the full gate arms with dinging bells.
 - No consensus on what would be used. This will be explored in the next project phases.
- A PAC member noted that 5th Avenue also is an at-grade crossing.
 - Yes, the project team is looking at this crossing as well.

Connection with SWLRT

- o A PAC member asked about the discussion around rerouting SWLRT.
 - This study was designed around the SWLRT LPA if the LPA changed than this project would drastically change too.
 - Peter Wagenius commented that the additional study being conducted for SWLRT is NOT reviewing the Midtown Greenway for a reroute of LRT.
 - The study is looking at a new alignment for the freight line that is currently in the Southwest Corridor.

Historic status

- o A PAC member asked what the historic status actually means.
 - The historic status is a combination of multiple features in the corridor ('trenchiness', bridges, retaining walls, etc.).
 - The historic district is most likely not a fatal flaw of the project, but it will add time and money to the project timeline and budget.
 - A PAC member noted that the historic nature of the Greenway is valuable especially the trenchiness.

Community outreach

- Mike reviewed the groups that the project team is planning to meet with in the coming months.
- Mike asked the PAC to send him contact information of any other groups they think he should try to meet with.
- o The project team will also be doing outreach at the Uptown and Chicago transit centers.

Next steps for the PAC

Recommendations from the PAC will not include specific vehicle type of single/double-track segments.

• Other discussion

- A PAC member asked what assumptions were regarding the look and feel of the stations.
 - Station prototype layouts are on the project website.



- There will also be photo renderings of the stations presented at the upcoming public meetings for a sample station.
- In future phases Metro Transit will work with the community on the look and feel of the stations.
- Every below grade station would include an elevator, no matter if a double or single-track configuration is chosen
 - Currently assuming a single elevator and a staircase at each below grade station.
- A PAC member asked what the cost difference would be between the concepts with more or less single track.
 - A range of costs is shown on the worksheet.
 - There are multiple variables being considered that go into the range: more single track segments versus fewer single track segments and turf track versus ballasted track.
 - The project saves costs from having more single track, but also incurs an increase in cost increase by assuming turf track.
 - The 'third section' is the station from Hennepin to Nicollet.
 - All the decisions on segments of single versus double track will be made in the future phases of the project.
- A PAC member asked if the section along 12th between Bloomington be considered for single track, since there are a fair amount of impacts in this section.
- A PAC member commented that the economic development should be used as an outreach tool.
- A PAC member noted that the CAC, and the business community, is very concerned about economic development east of Hiawatha.
- A PAC member noted that Metro Transit will work with specific businesses at stop locations on sidewalk space as the project moves forward.
- A PAC member asked if a Dual option changes the way a connection would be made between Lake Street and the Greenway.
 - As part of any chosen alternative the project would work to facilitate the connection between the Greenway and Lake Street.
- A PAC member asked about the connection between the Orange Line BRT/35W.
 - Both the rail and the bus option would facilitate a short transfer between the corridor and the Orange Line BRT
 - The 'Green Crescent' is planned to link the BRT station to the Greenway.
 - A PAC member noted that this is connection is a priority for the city of Minneapolis.
- The PAC chair noted that they are very pleased with the outreach work and other work on the project.